Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/569,331	CHANDRA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT	1619
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Non final</u>		
(1) <u>JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>MILTON HONIG</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 June 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: New matter and 112, second paragraph rejection in view of amendment		
Claims discussed: claim 1 and non-elected claims		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner informed the attorney that there is no support for the expression "at least two salts "in the specification (new matter rejection) and recitation of broad genus for salts followed by specific species in Markush is unclear and raises issue under 112, second paragraph, however the application is in condition for allowance subjecting to deletion of "at least two salts salts comprise " in claim 1 and cancelling non-elected claims drawn to non-statutory subject matter. Attorney authorized the examiner to amend the claims by examiners amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(<i>I</i>	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)